

CASE REPORT

Open Access



# Successful awake intubation using Airtraq® in a low-resource setting for a patient with severe post-burn contractures

Simon Ponthus<sup>1,2,4\*</sup>, Martina Odiakosa<sup>3</sup>, Bertrand Gautier<sup>1,2</sup> and Lionel Dumont<sup>1,2</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** In resource-limited settings, advanced airway management tools like fiberoptic bronchoscopes are often unavailable, creating challenges for managing difficult airways. We present the case of a 25-year-old male with post-burn contractures of the face, neck, and thorax in Nigeria, who had been repeatedly denied surgery due to the high risk of airway management complications. This case highlights how an awake intubation was safely performed using an Airtraq® laryngoscope, the only device available, as fiberoptic intubation was not an option. The patient had a mouth opening of 3.5 cm, a Mallampati score of 4, and no neck extension, making intubation challenging. Pre-procedural counseling was provided, and after explaining the risks, the patient gave informed consent.

**Case presentation** Preoxygenation was performed, followed by topical anesthesia using lidocaine gargles and incremental spraying of lidocaine to the vocal cords via a feeding tube. The Airtraq® laryngoscope enabled glottic visualization despite limited neck mobility and challenging anatomy. Procedural challenges included managing aspiration during gargling, precise lidocaine application without advanced tools, and maintaining patient cooperation. The procedure was successfully completed, allowing surgery for contracture release.

**Conclusions** This case emphasizes that safe awake intubation with an Airtraq® laryngoscope is feasible in low-resource environments when key principles—oxygenation, topical anesthesia, and careful procedural steps—are followed. The reuse of a single-use device like the Airtraq® laryngoscope extends its utility in resource-constrained settings, enabling complex airway management when alternatives are unavailable. The patient tolerated the procedure well and reported minimal discomfort. This experience underscores the critical importance of innovation, resourcefulness, and patient cooperation in managing difficult airways when standard tools are unavailable, offering valuable lessons for similar resource-constrained environments.

**Keywords** Awake intubation, Low-resource setting, Airtraq® laryngoscope, Anticipated difficult airway, Patient safety

\*Correspondence:

Simon Ponthus  
simon.ponthus@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Pharmacology, Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva 1205, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>2ND Chance Association, Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Anaesthesia, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil, 4 Service d'anesthésiologie, Geneva, Switzerland



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that burns cause over 250,000 deaths and result in the loss of approximately 18 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) annually, with more than 90% of these cases occurring in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1]. Post-burn contractures affecting the face, neck, and thorax can severely limit neck mobility and mouth opening, complicating airway management during surgical procedures. Additionally, airway management is particularly challenging in low-resource settings, where the risk of difficult intubation is significantly higher [2]. Awake intubation is an essential technique for managing difficult airways, particularly in patients with complex anatomical issues like post-burn contractures [3]. Recent guidelines emphasize the importance of standardized protocols and advanced tools like video laryngoscopes and fiberoptic bronchoscopes in managing difficult airways effectively [4, 5]. However, these resources are often unavailable in low-resource settings, necessitating innovative approaches tailored to local constraints. Awake intubation is especially crucial when the difficult airway arises from predictable and well-documented conditions like retractile scars and sequelae from facial and cervical burns, which have a very high prevalence in sub-Saharan Africa [6]. However, in low-resource settings, the equipment required for advanced awake intubation techniques is often unavailable [7]. The scarcity of essential tools can make it difficult to perform procedures safely, and in some cases, patients may be denied surgery due to the high risks involved. Direct laryngoscopy was deemed highly likely to fail due to the patient's significant neck contracture, which severely limited mobility and alignment of airway structures. Consequently, a decision was made to proceed with video laryngoscopy using the Airtraq® laryngoscope as the primary approach, given its ability to provide indirect visualization of the glottis and overcome the anatomical challenges posed by the patient's condition. Additionally, the Airtraq® laryngoscope is relatively easy to use, portable, and cost-effective compared to fiberoptic bronchoscopes or other video laryngoscopes, making it a practical choice in resource-constrained settings where simplicity and reliability are critical. This case report illustrates how an awake intubation using an Airtraq® laryngoscope was successfully performed in a low-resource setting in Nigeria, highlighting the challenges and solutions associated with airway management when standard equipment is lacking.

## Case presentation

A 25-year-old male with severe post-burn contractures involving both hands, forearms, thorax, neck, and face presented for surgery (Fig. 1). The burns, sustained three years earlier, caused significant scarring, completely

limiting neck extension. Mouth opening was 3.5 cm with a limited tongue protrusion and thyromental distance was 4 cm. His Mallampati score was 4. All of these factors indicated a certain difficulty in airway management, including tracheal intubation, ventilation, and the need for surgical access for a rescue airway if required. Despite numerous attempts to seek surgery, the patient had been denied treatment due to the high risk of intubation failure. Aside from the contractures, the patient was otherwise healthy, with no known comorbidities.

The ideal approach was traditionally considered to be awake nasal intubation via fiberoptic bronchoscopy; however, recent studies suggest that hyperangulated blade video laryngoscopes (like the Airtraq® laryngoscope) offer comparable success rates, faster intubation times, and a shorter learning curve, making the Airtraq® a viable and effective alternative in this case [8–10]. The awake intubation procedure was explained to the patient, and no premedication or sedative was administered, as propofol was the only available option and its use could have compromised airway safety and the patient's ability to maintain spontaneous respiration. The risk of complications, such as aspiration during lidocaine administration or failed intubation due to the patient's severely restricted anatomy, was acknowledged, and contingency plans were prepared to address potential challenges. In the event of intubation failure, a surgical release of the neck contracture under local anesthesia was considered as a viable option to improve neck extension and facilitate a subsequent intubation attempt.

The procedure began with pre-oxygenation (0–5 min) using a high-concentration oxygen mask at 12 L/min to optimize oxygen reserves. Following this, topical anesthesia of the oropharyngeal region (5–35 min) was initiated by administering 1% lidocaine gargles twice, spaced 15 min apart. Challenge 1: The patient experienced difficulty gargling while seated, requiring him to tilt his body backward to achieve adequate anesthesia. This led to near choking and likely aspiration of lidocaine, causing intense coughing. Despite this, effective local anesthesia of the mouth, pharynx, upper larynx, and possibly the glottis was eventually achieved. To anesthetize the vocal cords, a feeding tube was inserted through a preloaded endotracheal tube (35–45 min). The feeding tube was advanced under direct vision with the Airtraq® laryngoscope into the oral cavity to administer 2 mL of 1% lidocaine directly onto the vocal cords. After a five-minute interval, the process was repeated with an additional 2 mL of lidocaine to ensure sufficient glottic anesthesia. Challenge 2: The absence of a recommended epidural catheter required the innovative use of a feeding tube for precise and progressive delivery of local anesthesia, ensuring patient safety and effective airway anesthesia. The intubation process followed in three stages (45–50 min).



**Fig. 1** Photograph of the patient with severe post-burn contracture of the face, neck, arms, and thorax, completely limiting neck extension

First, the Airtraq® laryngoscope, preloaded with a 7.5 mm endotracheal tube (ETT), was activated early to prevent fogging and inserted. Visualization of the vocal cords was achieved despite an anterior and deviated glottis, yielding a percentage of glottic opening (POGO) score of 50%. The ETT was successfully advanced, and the cuff was inflated. Challenge 3: The absence of capnography required reliance on direct visualization of the airway to confirm successful intubation. Once airway control was confirmed, intravenous propofol was administered for sedation. The entire intubation procedure lasted approximately 50 min. Challenge 4: Effective communication was critical throughout the process, as all instructions had to be translated and reassurance provided to maintain the patient's cooperation. Despite the stress of the situation, the patient remained calm and cooperative.

The surgical procedure, which released the facial cervical and thoracic contractures, significantly improved the patient's neck mobility. The patient remained stable during the surgery and was extubated without complication. Twenty-four hours later, he reported a pain score of 1/10 and expressed gratitude for the successful procedure.

## Discussion and conclusions

This case illustrates the feasibility of awake intubation using an Airtraq® laryngoscope in a low-resource setting. Though ideal equipment such as a fiberoptic scope was unavailable, the Airtraq® laryngoscope proved to be an effective alternative [11]. Compared to traditional direct laryngoscopy, the Airtraq® laryngoscope offers a significant advantage in managing difficult airways by providing an indirect view of the glottis. In this case, the patient's restricted neck mobility and limited mouth opening made direct laryngoscopy impractical and highly likely to fail. While video laryngoscopes provide superior visualization and are considered a first-line tool for many difficult airway scenarios, they were not available in this setting. The Airtraq® laryngoscope served as an effective alternative due to its affordability, portability, and ability to achieve glottic visualization in anatomically challenging airways. Studies have demonstrated that the Airtraq® laryngoscope is particularly useful in difficult airway cases, with high success rates comparable to video laryngoscopy, especially when managed by experienced users [4]. The four essential pillars of awake intubation (oxygenation, topicalization, careful procedural execution, and sedation) are not easily transposable to low-resource settings. Firstly, oxygen in sub-Saharan African countries is almost universally present but in limited quantities and with sometimes very complex supply chains [12]. Secondly, airway anesthesia with topicalization posed a significant challenge due to the absence of 10% lidocaine spray usually recommended [13]. Using 1% lidocaine without spray and step-by-step anesthesia

with gargling and progressive injection through the feeding tube took 50 min. Clearly, this time was necessary to achieve sufficient anesthesia. Transtracheal injection for local anesthesia was not an option because the retractile scar covered the entire cervical region. Thirdly, sedation was not available due to the lack of pharmacological and material resources. We opted not to compromise our procedure by using small doses of propofol or ketamine which were available but not recommended [14]. Having a nurse who translated each step clearly and supported the patient during difficult moments was likely essential in maintaining the calm and cooperation the patient exhibited throughout this lengthy procedure [15].

Awake intubation using a non-video Airtraq® laryngoscope has been successfully described multiple times, even in cases of difficult airways [16]. However, this technique requires expertise, and it should be honestly stated that before attempting an awake intubation in a predicted difficult case, one must have practical experience with the Airtraq® laryngoscope. Unlike the Airtraq-video®, where all observers can provide guidance, the Airtraq® laryngoscope, with its eyepiece, offers limited and lower-quality vision [11, 17]. While the Airtraq® laryngoscope proved effective in this case, it has notable limitations compared to more advanced devices like video laryngoscopes. In fact, it provides a limited field of view through its eyepiece, which can make navigation of challenging anatomy more difficult. Unlike video laryngoscopes, the Airtraq® laryngoscope lacks real-time visual feedback that can be shared with the entire team, reducing the ability for others to assist during the intubation process or provide input.

Undoubtedly, the least visible but most impactful element, extending far beyond this single case report, is the context of severely limited resources in which this anesthesia and surgery were performed (lack of monitoring, lack functional anesthesia machine, lack of basic pharmacology), a situation that afflicts most of sub-Saharan African countries, with disparities at national, regional, and local levels especially for airway management [18, 19]. While high-income countries routinely use single-use equipment, healthcare providers in resource-limited settings often need to reuse such equipment due to supply constraints. The reuse of single-use equipment has been documented in several countries over the years and remains controversial from an environmental perspective and not recommended from a hygiene and ethic standpoint [20–23]. The WHO acknowledges the reuse of single-use devices in emergency settings while emphasizing the importance of balancing risks and benefits [24]. Additionally, research on device reuse in resource-limited settings has highlighted its prevalence and necessity while calling for clear policies and improved sterilization technologies to mitigate associated risks



[25]. The absence of capnography significantly impacted decision-making. Confirmation of endotracheal tube placement relied entirely on direct visualization through the Airtraq® laryngoscope and clinical assessment (e.g., observing chest rise and auscultation). This increased the procedural complexity and required the team to exercise heightened vigilance to avoid misplacement of the tube or hypoxia. The lack of capnography also emphasized the importance of ensuring an awake and responsive patient during intubation, further supporting the choice to avoid sedation until the airway was secured.

This case highlights the successful use of an Airtraq® laryngoscope for awake intubation in a low-resource setting, emphasizing the importance of adaptability and expertise. To improve airway management in such environments, targeted training programs on alternative devices like the Airtraq® laryngoscope and building local expertise are essential. Efforts to improve access to basic airway equipment and develop protocols for safe reuse of single-use devices can further address resource constraints. Promoting low-cost innovations and international collaborations will help strengthen healthcare systems and improve patient outcomes.

#### Abbreviations

DALYs	Disability-Adjusted Life Years
ETT	Endotracheal tube
LMICs	Low- and Middle-Income Countries
POGO	Percentage of glottic opening
WHO	World Health Organization

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank: The hospital of Enugu, Nigeria. Financial support of the 2nd Chance anesthesia project: Fondation d'Anesthésie Réanimation (FAR).

#### Author contributions

S. P. Wrote the main manuscript text and prepared Fig. 1. M. O. Reviewed the manuscript. B. G. Reviewed the manuscript. L. D. Wrote the main manuscript text.

#### Funding

Not applicable.

#### Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The patient provided informed consent for the procedure.

#### Consent for publication

Written authorization from the patient was provided for submission of a case report.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 9 October 2024 / Accepted: 31 December 2024

Published online: 07 January 2025

#### References

1. Rybarczyk MM, Schafer JM, Elm CM, Sarvepalli S, Vaswani PA, Balhara KS, et al. Prevention of burn injuries in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Burns*. 2016;42(6):1183–92.
2. Hamal PK. Airway Management in Low Resource Settings. In: Ubaradka RS, Gupta N, Bidkar PU, Tripathy DK, Gupta A, editors. *The Airway Manual: Practical Approach to Airway Management*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore; 2023. pp. 749–62. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4747-6\\_43](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4747-6_43)
3. Sajayan A, Nair A, McNarry AF, Mir F, Ahmad I, El-Boghdadly K. Analysis of a national difficult airway database. *Anaesthesia*. 2022;77(10):1081–8.
4. Gómez-Ríos MÁ, Sastre JA, Onrubia-Fuertes X, López T, Abad-Gurumeta A, Casans-Francés R, et al. Spanish Society of Anesthesiology, Reanimation and Pain Therapy (SEDAR), Spanish Society of Emergency and Emergency Medicine (SEMES) and Spanish Society of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck surgery (SEORL-CCC) Guideline for difficult airway management. Part I. *Rev Esp Anestesiología Reanim (Engl Ed)*. 2024;71(3):171–206.
5. Gómez-Ríos MÁ, Sastre JA, Onrubia-Fuertes X, López T, Abad-Gurumeta A, Casans-Francés R, et al. Spanish Society of Anesthesiology, Reanimation and Pain Therapy (SEDAR), Spanish Society of Emergency and Emergency Medicine (SEMES) and Spanish Society of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck surgery (SEORL-CCC) Guideline for difficult airway management. Part II. *Rev Esp Anestesiología Reanim (Engl Ed)*. 2024;71(3):207–47.
6. Botman M, Hendriks TCC, de Haas LEM, Mtui GS, Nuwass EQ, Jaspers MEH, et al. The effectiveness of burn scar contracture release surgery in low- and middle-income countries. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2020;8(7):e2907.
7. Ahmad I, El-Boghdadly K, Bhagrath R, Hodzovic I, McNarry AF, Mir F, et al. Difficult Airway Society guidelines for awake tracheal intubation (ATI) in adults. *Anaesthesia*. 2020;75(4):509–28.
8. Merola R, Vargas M, Marra A, Buonanno P, Coviello A, Servillo G, et al. Videolaryngoscopy versus Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy for Awake Tracheal Intubation: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of Randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Med*. 2024;13(11):3186.
9. Rosenstock CV, Thøgersen B, Afshari A, Christensen AL, Eriksen C, Gätke MR. Awake fiberoptic or awake video laryngoscopic tracheal intubation in patients with anticipated difficult airway management: a randomized clinical trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;116(6):1210–6.
10. Alhomary M, Ramadan E, Curran E, Walsh SR. Videolaryngoscopy vs. fiberoptic bronchoscopy for awake tracheal intubation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anaesthesia*. 2018;73(9):1151–61.
11. Kamga H, Frugier A, Boutros M, Bourges J, Doublet T, Parianti JJ. Flexible nasal bronchoscopy vs. Airtraq® videolaryngoscopy for awake tracheal intubation: a randomised controlled non-inferiority study. *Anaesthesia*. 2023;78(8):963–9.
12. Navuluri N, Srour ML, Kussin PS, Murdoch DM, MacIntyre NR, Que LG, et al. Oxygen delivery systems for adults in Sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. *J Glob Health*. 2021;11:04018.
13. Doyle DJ. Airway anesthesia: theory and practice. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2015;33(2):291–304.
14. Rao PN, Soffin EM, Beckman JD. Comparative review of airway anesthesia and sedation methods for awake intubation. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2023;36(5):547–59.
15. Knudsen K, Nilsson U, Högmán M, Pöder U. Awake intubation creates feelings of being in a vulnerable situation but cared for in safe hands: a qualitative study. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2016;16(1):71.
16. Vd D, Id Z, Dg L. Awake tracheal intubation using the Airtraq laryngoscope: a case series. *Acta anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*. 2009 Aug [cited 2024 Sep 24];53(7). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19496763/>
17. Schoettker P, Corniche J. The AirView Study: comparison of Intubation conditions and ease between the Airtraq-AirView and the King Vision. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;2015:284142.
18. Lyon CB, Merchant AI, Schwalbach T, Pinto EFV, Jeque EC, McQueen KAK. Anesthetic care in Mozambique. *Anesth Analg*. 2016;122(5):1634–9.
19. Bulamba F, Connelly S, Richards S, Lipnick MS, Gelb AW, Igaga EN, et al. A cross-sectional survey of Anesthetic Airway Equipment and Airway Management Practices in Uganda. *Anesth Analg*. 2023;137(1):191–9.
20. Collignon PJ, Dreimanis DE, Beckingham WD. Reuse of single-use medical devices in sterile sites: how often does this still occur in Australia? *Med J Aust*. 2003;179(2):115–6. discussion 116.
21. Campbell BA, Wells GA, Palmer WN, Martin DL. Reuse of disposable medical devices in Canadian hospitals. *Am J Infect Control*. 1987;15(5):196–200.

22. Jacobs P, Polisen J, Hailey D, Lafferty S. Economic analysis of reprocessing single-use medical devices: a systematic literature review. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2008;29(4):297–301.
23. Popp W, Rasslan O, Unahalekhaka A, Brenner P, Fischnaller E, Fathy M, et al. What is the use? An international look at reuse of single-use medical devices. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2010;213(4):302–7.
24. World Health Organization. Decontamination and Reprocessing of Medical Devices for Health-care Facilities. World Health Organization. 2016. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549851>
25. Oturu K, Ijomah W, Orr A, Verpeaux L, Broadfoot B, Clark S, et al. Remanufacturing of single-use medical devices: a case study on cross-border collaboration between the UK and Nigeria. *Health Technol (Berl)*. 2022;12(2):273–83.

### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.